



Peer Review of Librarian

Librarian: _____

Peer Name: _____

Reviewer's Relationship to Librarian:

Library Peer

External Colleague

Position _____

Instructional Setting of Observation:

Library Instruction Session

Other
(describe) _____

Portfolio of instructional materials attached? Yes No

SET: _____ Date/Time of Observation: _____

Directions:

Thank you for agreeing to carry out this Peer Review. The results of this review will be used to provide valuable feedback to the librarian and will be used to identify areas where skills and expertise may be developed.

The review focuses on the librarian's relevant knowledge and instructional skills. Each item is to be assessed according to the following scale:

S	SR	U	
Satisfactory	Satisfactory with Reservations	Unsatisfactory	No Opinion

No Opinion is the appropriate response where you have insufficient data or you are otherwise unable to assess the item. Items indicated by an asterisk are to be left blank if you are not qualified to make an assessment. Please note that reviewer comments are welcome when particularly effective or otherwise noteworthy performance is observed.

Satisfactory with Reservations or **Unsatisfactory** are appropriate responses where you cannot rate the librarian as **Satisfactory** on the item. When choosing either of these options, you must clearly explain why a rating of **Satisfactory** could not be given. If no explanation is provided the response will be scored as **No Opinion**.

Content Currency

- * 1. The librarian demonstrated currency in the information presented. S SR U No Opinion
- * 2. The librarian referred to up-to-date processes, systems, and/or equipment. S SR U No Opinion
- * 3. The librarian's instructional materials were current. S SR U No Opinion

Depth and Breadth of Knowledge

- * 4. The librarian demonstrated an adequate depth of knowledge. S SR U No Opinion
- * 5. The librarian demonstrated an adequate breadth of knowledge. S SR U No Opinion
6. The librarian appeared at ease in the instructional setting. S SR U No Opinion

** Asterisked items are to be left blank if the reviewer is unqualified to judge.*

Instructional Skills (cont'd)

7. The librarian clearly stated the objectives for the session. S SR U No Opinion

8. The librarian's presentation of information was clear. S SR U No Opinion

9. The librarian presented information in a logically developed sequence. S SR U No Opinion

10. The librarian clearly established definitions and core concepts. S SR U No Opinion

11. The librarian's use of voice was effective. S SR U No Opinion

Instructional Skills (cont'd)

12. The librarian used instructional media effectively. S SR U No Opinion

13. The librarian's pace of delivery seemed matched to student comprehension levels. S SR U No Opinion

Student Interactions

14. The librarian seemed to have good student rapport. S SR U No Opinion

15. The librarian provided opportunities for student questions. S SR U No Opinion

16. The librarian responded effectively to student questions. S SR U No Opinion

** Asterisked items are to be left blank if the reviewer is unqualified to judge.*

Instructional Materials

The following items require analysis and review of the librarian's portfolio of instructional materials, e.g., handouts, assignments, etc.

17. The content of instructional materials was clearly presented. S SR U No Opinion
18. Overall, instructional materials had a professional appearance. S SR U No Opinion
- * 19. Instructional materials were at an appropriate level. S SR U No Opinion

** Asterisked items are to be left blank if the reviewer is unqualified to judge.*