Program Overview (3 year average) - Trades & Technical Studies (Diploma and Certificate) Student Outcomes

Security Systems Technician_2915_CERT

Employment Outcomes

How useful was your program in getting your (main) job? (obtained after studies) rated very or somewhat useful

How long did it take you to find your program-related job?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Less than one month</th>
<th>1 to 2 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average hourly wage of those in training related job (main job)

$21

Education Outcomes

96% of graduates were satisfied with their education

Aspects of Program
Quality of Instruction rated very good or good

93%

Aspects of Courses
Covering topics relevant to field rated very good or good

84%

Please note results are from previous graduating years and are subject to industry and occupational trends.
When assessing a program, please consider researching other programs within the Post-Secondary Sector, reaching out to those within that industry and connecting with current students in the selected program.
NOTE: All percentages are rounded to whole numbers.
Program:
Security Systems Technician_2915_CERT

Employment Outcomes

In Labour Force: 96%
Those who responded were employed as well as looking and available for work at time of survey.

Employment Rate: 98%
Those who responded were working in labour market.

Employed in job-related program: 90%
Those who responded were currently employed at a job or business at time of survey.

Of those employed (in labour force):

Full-time: 100%

How useful was your program in getting your (main) job? (obtained after studies)

Very: 80%
Somehow: 15%
Not at all: 4%

How long did it take you to find your program-related job?

Less than one month: 72%
1 to 2 months: 28%

Top 5 Jobs Obtained Related to Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOC 4</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>% of those employed in program related jobs</th>
<th>Median hourly wage (main job)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2242</td>
<td>Electronic service technicians (household and business equipment)</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>$21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7445</td>
<td>Other repairers and servicers</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1221</td>
<td>Administrative officers</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>$18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2171</td>
<td>Information systems analysts and consultants</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6316</td>
<td>Other services supervisors</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>$18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average hourly wage of those in training related job (main job): $21

Please note results are from previous graduating years and are subject to industry and occupational trends.
When assessing a program, please consider researching other programs within the Post-Secondary Sector, reaching out to those within that industry and connecting with current students in the selected program.
NOTE: All percentages are rounded to whole numbers.
Program: Security Systems Technician_2915_CERT

Education Outcomes

96%

of graduates were very satisfied or satisfied with their education

Skill Development  How well students were prepared for:
(changed to 4-point Helpful scale in 2015)

- Write clearly and concisely: 38% Very helpful, 49% Helpful, 13% Not helpful
- Speak effectively: 25% Very helpful, 53% Helpful, 47% Not helpful
- Read and comprehend materials: 53% Very helpful, 64% Helpful, 47% Not helpful
- Work effectively with others: 51% Very helpful, 45% Helpful, 4% Not helpful
- Analyze and think critically: 51% Very helpful, 45% Helpful, 2% Not helpful
- Resolve issues or problems: 45% Very helpful, 55% Helpful, 2% Not helpful
- Learn on your own: 38% Very helpful, 59% Helpful, 4% Not helpful
- Use mathematics (asked in 2018): 36% Very helpful, 52% Helpful, 12% Not helpful
- Use other tools and equipment (asked in 2018): 67% Very helpful, 30% Helpful, 2% Not helpful

Aspects of Program
How did students rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In School Experiences</th>
<th>Very Good or Good</th>
<th>Adequate</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Instruction</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization of program</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of practical experience</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textbooks and learning materials (asked in 2018)</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of other tools and equipment (asked in 2018)</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note results are from previous graduating years and are subject to industry and occupational trends.
When assessing a program, please consider researching other programs within the Post-Secondary Sector, reaching out to those within that industry and connecting with current students in the selected program.
NOTE: All percentages are rounded to whole numbers.
A Summary of Survey Results (3 year average) - Trades & Technical Studies (Diploma & Certificate) Student Outcomes

Program:
Security Systems Technician_2915_CERT

Further Studies

- 23% are currently studying on a full-time or part-time bases after completing program
- 38% have taken further studies after completing program
- 60% further studies were very or somewhat related to program

How well were you prepared for further studies?

- 75% very well
- 25% not very

Program Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Of those respondents had taken previous post-secondary education:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Note: Credentials are based on those who had taken previous post-secondary education. Respondents could report more than one type of post-secondary credential.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No credential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trades program diploma, certificate, or citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-trades credential below bachelor level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate or diploma above Bachelor level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate degree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note results are from previous graduating years and are subject to industry and occupational trends.
When assessing a program, please consider researching other programs within the Post-Secondary Sector, reaching out to those within that industry and connecting with current students in the selected program.

NOTE: All percentages are rounded to whole numbers.
Student Outcomes Reporting System (SORS)

This report was run by:
British Columbia Institute of Technology

This report was run on:
09/25/2019

This report shows data from:
Survey name: BC Trades Diploma and Certificate Student Outcomes Survey
Survey year(s): 2017, 2018, 2019
Results are from previous graduating years 2016 - 2018 and are subject to industry and occupational trends

About the TRADES survey:
The TRADES survey is conducted annually from January to May, with funding from the ministry responsible for post-secondary education, Skills and Training, the Industry Training Authority (ITA), and B.C.’s public post-secondary institutions. The eligible cohort for the TRADES Survey consists of former students who completed trades foundation or trades-related vocational programs. The annual questionnaire is built on a set of core questions based on the major themes of the survey: employment outcomes, further education, ratings of programs, and student satisfaction. Please note the trades foundation and trades-related vocational students were previously surveyed through the DACSO survey. This report does not include apprenticeship, short certificate, baccalaureate, or developmental (Adult Basic Education, English as a Second Language, and Adult Special Education) programs.

Data Definitions:
Information provided through BC Student Outcomes
* Please take caution when interpreting the results with a response count less than 30, as they may not be statistically valid.

Eligible Students: Count of total graduates in program.
Respondents: Former students who responded to the DACSO survey.
Response Rate: Percentage of survey respondents to all graduates surveyed.

Programs less than 8 respondents are excluded from Summary Outcomes individual program reports.

% In Labour Force: Respondents who were in the labour force. The labour force includes people who were employed as well as those who were looking and available for work at the time of the survey.
% Employment Rate: Those who were working in labour market.
% Employed in a Job Related Program: Respondents that were currently employed in an occupation that was either “very” or “somewhat” related to their past training.
% Of those employed: (in labour force) Percent of respondents who were currently working in labour force full-time (30 hours or more per week), part-time (less than 30 hours per week), or unemployed and looking for work.
% How useful were the knowledge and skills you gained in your program in performing your main job: Percent of respondents who felt the knowledge and skills gained from their program were “very”, “somewhat”, “not very” or “not at all” useful in performing their job.
% How useful was your program in getting your (main) job: Percent of respondents who felt their program was “very”, “somewhat”, “not very” or “not at all” useful in getting their job.
Average hourly wage of those in training related job (main job): Mean (average) hourly wage of those in training related job (main full-time or part-time job).
% Satisfied with Education: Percent of respondents who felt “very”, “satisfied”, “dissatisfied” or “very dissatisfied” with education received.
% Are currently studying: Percent of respondents that were currently studying on a full-time or part-time basis.
% Have taken further studies since leaving program: Percent of respondents have taken any further studies since the last course at their institution.
% How well were you prepared for further studies after completing program: Percent of respondents who felt their further studies were related to their program and felt that they were “very well”, “somewhat”, “not very” or “not at all” prepared for further study due to the program.
% How well students were prepared to: (Questions changed to a 4-point scale in 2015) - Respondents who felt skill development was applicable responded “very helpful”, “helpful”, “not very helpful” or “not at all helpful” to the skills listed.