Bibliography information				Abstracting: record relevant characteristics and findings							Appraisal: assess the quality of the research											Judgment: rate the extent each study addresses your research question
Short in-text citation (APA style)	Primary study	Reference Not Relevant (state the reason why the reference is not relevant)	Genre	Research questions and aims	Data sources and main variables	Accuracy of findings	Key findings (valid claims relating to paper)	Where is research in the 7 EMPIRICAL Review Stages (stating in which?)	Keywords	Substantive author statements on validity and generalizability, including significant limitations, threats or weaknesses	Is the research question clearly and precisely stated?	Is data or other evidence sufficient to support the claims made?	Is the research design appropriate to the research question?	Is the data collection and analysis methods appropriate?	Is the research free from bias?	Are the conclusions drawn justified by the results?	Are the findings generalizable to other contexts?	Are the findings of the study of value to society/the economy/the environment?	Are the findings of the study of value to academic theory (conceptual, methodological, empirical)?	Are the findings of the study of value to practitioners?	Does the research present novelty, key findings, and recommendations?	Does the research address the aim of your research?
Alexiou, et al.	2022		Empirical Research Article	To investigate the impact of a carbon tax on the performance of a European Union (EU) sample of 1,174 listed firms.	Dependent variable: Tobin's Q. Independent variable: carbon tax. Control variables: firm size, leverage, retained earnings, and profitability.	N/A	14% average national different carbon tax rates drive Q.	Although the EMPIRICAL section is 4 STEPS, only a sub-section of the analysis will be relevant to your RQs.	N/A	Tobin's Q; carbon tax; corporate governance; firm size; leverage; retained earnings; profitability	Yes. Firm's manipulating tax's impact on firm's performance (Tobin's Q) is a good focus.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Not the sampling and processing. More are the details of what was done, or why it was. Many problems in the analysis. Just like the sampling and processing. Presents data but not a profitably novel, valuable contribution. Lacks a clear and well-justified research question. Lacks a clear and well-justified research question.	Results underlying the key findings in your research question are not clearly stated. Results underlying the key findings in your research question are not clearly stated. Results underlying the key findings in your research question are not clearly stated. Lacks a clear and well-justified research question. Lacks a clear and well-justified research question. Lacks a clear and well-justified research question.	The research question and the aim of your research are similar. Although the research question is not the same as the aim of your research, the research question is similar to the aim of your research. The research question and the aim of your research are similar. The research question and the aim of your research are similar. The research question and the aim of your research are similar. The research question and the aim of your research are similar.
Bae, et al.	2018	Wrong Evidence	To investigate the impact of a carbon tax on the performance of a sample of 1,174 listed firms.	Tobin's Q. Independent variable: carbon tax. Control variables: firm size, leverage, retained earnings, and profitability.	Tobin's Q. Independent variable: carbon tax. Control variables: firm size, leverage, retained earnings, and profitability.	Not relevant to your research aim.	Carbon tax's impact on firm's performance (Tobin's Q) is a good focus.	Not relevant to your research question.	Tobin's Q; carbon tax; corporate governance; firm size; leverage; retained earnings; profitability	Yes. Firm's manipulating tax's impact on firm's performance (Tobin's Q) is a good focus.	Yes. The analysis was a robust method to control questions of endogeneity. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance.	Yes. The sample was a robust method to control questions of endogeneity. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance.	Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance.	Yes. The estimated Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. The estimated Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. The estimated Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. The estimated Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. The estimated Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance.	Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes. Tobin's Q is a good measure of firm's performance. Yes.

Ma et al.	2003	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2003.08.002 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2003.08.002 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2003.08.002

Zhang et al.	2021	https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378720620302671?dgcid=rss_sd_all&utm_campaign=STMJ_AUTO_SEARCH_ALERTS&utm_medium=email&utm_source=sciencedirect&utm_content=1&utm_term=%28%29%29%29